

jn21-15protctr.tripod.com/esscomptheftdl011718wadd48fs111818.html

Document List of 011718 in re ESS Comp Theft - FS

1. D/L of 011718 - ESS FS
2. Proposed... Order of 011718
3. Petition to CPD, CCSA and/or CCCC, IL of 011718
4. Disclaimers

Document # 3. from D/Lof 011718 - ESS

Petition to CPD, CCSA and/or CCCC, IL of 011718

Robert J. More herein respectfully petitions the CPD, CCSA and/or CCCC, Il Magistrate Judge adjudicating probable cause hearings and issuing criminal complaints and informations and/or summons in re whereto to provide the consideration included in the "Proposed Conditional Order of 011818 Regarding" included herein infra.

/s/Robert J. More

P.O. Box 6926, Chicago, IL, 60680, 708 317-8812, anselm45@gmail.com or G. Watts at 863 688-9880

Document # 2. from D/L of 011718 SS - Proposed... Order of 011718

Circuit Court of Cook County, IL

People of State of Il

v

John Doe #1, Jane Doe #1, any and all other John and Jane Does

Proposed Conditional Order of 011818 Regarding Institution of Criminal Charges Regarding the thefts of Robert J. More's ("RJM's") computers and related possessions on 072216 and 072316

1. Preface: Given the societal importance of the principle and arrangement defined traditionally that "guilt not go unpunished and that innocence not suffer", defined alternatively as the vindication of the interests of all citizens in the reception of the consideration defined as "the protection from crimes perpetrated by a.) private citizen criminals, b.) one's sovereign, c.) one's sovereign's subordinates, d.) entities foreign to one's own nation, to the extent such protection can be provided in any given instance without in the process of the provision of such, causing the deprivation of other measures of consideration, of equal importance if the four alternatives included herein supra do not cover the universe of possibilities present in this regard, which principle and the consideration correlative wherewith, necessarily includes the administration of punishment which the Legislature for a given jurisdiction has determined to be appropriate for any crimes which a jury or other tribunal of fact would legitimately find ever to have been committed," and that the "law cannot require the impossible" in light of the fact that 1. criminal charges can be instituted upon circumstantial evidence entirely by itself, 2. that such type charges can be instituted upon hearsay when stronger evidence would not be procurable upon a demonstration of present impossibility of providing stronger evidence and the prospects of the future procurement whereof, in consideration of the soon to expire nominal statute(s) of limitations applicable to the crime of "(misdemeanor) theft of property" for stolen property valued, not including any work product of the crime victim in any

given instance, crime victim claimant Robert J. More ("RJM") to be less than \$500.00, and/or misdemeanor trespass and in consideration of the evidence concerning such matters provided by RJM to this tribunal on 011718:

2. Criminal Charges for the Crime of Theft of Robert J. More's ("RJM") computers and related possessions on 072216 and 072316 shall be conditionally instituted against John Doe #1, Jane Doe #1, John Doe #2, and John Doe #3 at this juncture, subject to the requirement that the actual names of such now J. Doe Defendants be presented to this Court within 30 days, subject to extension of time for good cause shown, so that criminal charges which would include the actual names of any and all actual crime perpetrators regarding the matters this document concerns ("these matters") can be modified such that such J. Does would become adequately named Defendants prior to any proceeding other than the institution of criminal charges ever being conducted regarding these matters in order that criminal charges may be instituted within such time period and/or

This tribunal herein declares by its authority that any and all statutes of limitations nominally applicable to these matters have been tolled thru given the unavailability to RJM of the actual names of the J. Doe's referenced herein or

This tribunal herein declares the entirety of the provisions of the presently operative Criminal Code for the State of IL, whatever its actual appellation presently is, to be unconstitutional as any provision whereof might ever have been applied to any activity ever conducted by RJM for the purpose of adequately accomplishing all of the "ends of justice" these matters concern in the absence whereof, which of course, necessarily includes no type of activity which could be classified as any type of *malum in se* activity.

2a. (Extra Space Storage ("ESS")) (The City of Chicago Police Department) shall provide Robert J. More and/or this Court the names of all of the gate access code possessors for unit # 1214 at the FAC729 Facility (707 W. Harrison St. Chicago, IL) and the facility accessors who entered the facility on the morning of 072316 while RJM was in the process of completing a phone call to the Chicago Police Department ("CPD") (between the juncture that the thefts this document concerns had been committed and the juncture at which the CPD members arrived in re whereof), which would be identifiable from the gate code access records and/or video footage, and/or testimony of any and all ESS employees in possession of the knowledge of the names of such customers for the FAC729 facility which is presently in its possession

3. This order has been entered upon the evidence submitted by RJM to a. the CPD Warrant Officer, b. the Office of the Cook County States Attorney, c. this Court on 011718, which includes: 1. contents of RJM's police report # HZ 360-742, 2. RJM's report of regarding such losses of property which are suspected by RJM to constitute thefts, and in particular, 2. RJM's explanation that RJM encountered Jane Doe #1 within a period of a few weeks prior to the crime perpetration in the aisle in which unit 2024 exists when she was charging her cell phone in such aisle and that a brief exchange of spoken words was conducted at such juncture, 3. RJM's seeing the three males entering the facility as RJM was departing it on the evening of 072216, 4. the ESS customer in Unit 1034 or other, who informed RJM that he observed the John Doe 1 pressing his face against the window of the glass panel in the door immediately between his unit - 1214 and the Dock of the facility, 5. RJM's observing such John Doe #1 to enter the facility on 072316 at 6:00 a.m. immediately prior to the second theft having been perpetrated, 6. John Doe #1 being in the facility at the juncture of both thefts this document concerns, 7. Jane Doe #1's entering the facility (see: "Document List – Computer, etc. Theft 7/22 and 23 of 2016" entry #24, 8. John Doe #1's activity as demonstrated in the youtube videos posted in the Robert More youtube channel (with aged book page picture, in particular his exiting the gate access door and casting glances for some purpose (see entry #48 infra), 9. the rest of the contents of the Computer, etc. Theft 7/22 and 23 of 2016, 10. the fact that access to Unit # 2024 in FAC729 was not possible without passing thru video-monitored areas, if all cameras are the same on 011618 as they were at the juncture of the crime commissions these matters concern and were all adequately functioning at such juncture, except via entrance from the emergency exit door on the north side of the facility, which has no outside handle and is immediately adjacent to the facility office which upon information and belief ESS Management would pass regularly, thus rendering the identification of the crime perpetrators to simply constitute a measure of logically excluding the possibility of the existence of any reasonable explanation regarding the possible suspect crime perpetrator('s')) identification other than the actual explanation in such regard. 11. The entirety of the Document List – Computer, etc.

Theft 7/22 and 23 of 2016 included herein infra is incorporated herein. 12. RJM complains that he and the rest of the members of the public have been deprived of the equal protection of the laws in the non-conducting of any adequate investigation of these matters and the non-petition for institution by the CPD of criminal charges in re whereto, 13. RJM recalls that besides the \$80.00 plus tax and portable media device stolen on 072216 this document concerns, that the total number of computers stolen on 072316 was either 4 or 5, along with a monitor, book bag, various power cords, only two of which could be used for anything other than data storage, and which two could only be used via the use of an external monitor, and that RJM is not certain of the exact value whereof, nor whether the work product of RJM present upon such devices is supposed to be included in the net value of the theft perpetrated, 14. to be supplemented as necessary in the future.

1. D/L – Comp ...
2. Initial Abbreviated Component of 8/4/16....
3. Confirmation of Reception of Documents Delivery

Initial Abbreviated Component of 8/4/16 of Report of 8/4/16 in re Criminal R.D. # HZ 360-742, Regarding Theft of Property Perpetrated Against Robert J. More ("RJM") to be Superseded at Various Junctures in the Future as Necessity Would Evidently Ever Require

1. On Friday 7/22/16 at about 2115, RJM departed storage unit #2024 ("2024") of the Extra Space Storage Facility at 707 W. Harrison St., Chicago, IL ("ESS") ("Facility") without burdening himself with closing his storage unit door and locking it as he intended to return to the unit within 15-20 minutes at the most.

2. As RJM was departing the Facility, it is RJM's recollection that there was a vehicle and some various persons, whose particular characteristics did not make any impression upon RJM, possibly because of vehicle doors obstructing RJM's vision of them, attending whereto in the auto/property loading bays, and RJM observed three black males, approximate ages 24-40 either climbing the stairs in the bay area and/or moving along the dock wall, but in any event, moving towards the storage unit area ("SUA") and not towards the outside of the facility.

3. When RJM arrived back at 2024, it occurred to him that the tablet he had used to complete a number of documents prior to exiting the facility was not where RJM last remembered seeing it.

4. RJM immediately scoured 2024 and all cartons in the hallway adjacent whereto and repeated such search, endeavoring to be certain that he had not either placed the then missing tablet under some cardboard and/or papers and/or in the lateral file cabinet in the unit.

5. At about 2140-45, RJM decided to go down to the dock area and RJM did so.

6. RJM encountered the customer in unit 1034 (RJM will provide corrected unit # if this is not the correct number), if RJM's memory serves him correctly, who was moving entities on a hand forklift or a furniture cart.

7. RJM inquired of such customer, who was a 72-73 inch, 185-190 lb Caucasian male, between est. age - 26-38 whether he had seen anyone exiting the building in the prior 20 minutes in any type of suspicious manner as RJM could not locate his tablet.

8. Such customer informed RJM that he had seen a black male about 73" and 190 lbs looking around the dock area and also viewing the dock area from the storage unit area of the facility with his face pressed against the window of the door on the south side of the dock.

9. Such customer informed RJM that the activity of such individual had made an impression upon him and that such individual rented the storage unit closest to that door on the inside (storage unit area) of the facility, which RJM understands to be unit 1214.

10. RJM thanked such customer for such information and returned to 2024.

11. RJM then began brainstorming to ascertain the most likely place to recover his tablet.

12. RJM checked the south side of the facility exit to Vernon street and noticed that that door was not completely closed and fastened at such time.

13. RJM walked through and examined the visible area of the entire facility just to be certain that RJM's tablet had not been left in some hallway.

14. RJM returned to the unit and remained there for some time, assessing his then present options.

15. At some point, RJM decided that the best prospects for recovery of his tablet and the SD card containing the past year's work product of RJM whereupon, would be to remain at the unit, in case the thief might still be in the facility and be inclined to return to 2024.

16. RJM was also concerned to not miss the 6:00 a.m. gate access commencement time facility accessors, as RJM suspected that any thief who departed the facility on 7/22/16 would be somewhat likely to return when the facility reopened on 7/23/16.

17. At about 5:57 a.m. on 7/23/16, RJM exited the unit and facility in order to observe anyone waiting to enter it at 6:00 a.m. and observed a black male, wearing a white T-shirt and black bandanna-like "Do-rag" on his head, who fit the description of the individual referenced herein supra as renting the unit closest to the south entrance off the dock to the storage unit area (Unit 1214).

18. RJM demonstrated no cognition of any significance in observing such individual but eye contact was definitely made between he and RJM.

19. RJM rode his bike around the block, and paused before recrossing Harrison st. in endeavoring to ascertain the best strategy for recovery of his missing tablet.

20. When RJM returned to 2024, minutes later, it occurred to him that the two book bags RJM had had of laptop computers , cords and a monitor stored in 2024 were missing from his unit.

21. RJM then examined the lateral file and noticed that his virus plagued Trio tablet was also missing.

22. Shortly whereafter, RJM exited the facility and dialed 911 to report the commission of a crime of theft but RJM cannot recall if he referenced it as a crime in progress.

23. When no police appeared, RJM dialed 911 again and emphasized that the matter concerned constituted a crime of a theft in progress.

24. Either the first or second call was placed near to the pedestrian door of the facility in the presence of a light skinned black woman, about 60 inches tall, approximate age of 20-25 and a 67 inch, 175 lb, dark-skinned black male.

25. RJM then moved to a position from which he could observe both the front bay area and the exit on the south side of the facility to Vernon St. with his video recording device ready to record whatever would transpire.

26. At some juncture the customer who rents the unit closest to the door which number is 1214 if RJM's memory serves him correctly in this regard exited the building and inquired of RJM:

“Did you call the police on me?”

27. RJM cannot recall what exactly if anything RJM said in response to such inquiry.

28. While waiting for the police to arrive and RJM knows how long that can take in that area as RJM vividly recalls having to wait 45 minutes in the Jewel on Roosevelt Rd. in December, 2014 as RJM assisted the security contingent there in subduing a 76-77 inch, 240-255 lb, estimated age 35, black male who had endeavored to take something out of that store in contravention of the order of a security guard to refrain from doing so in a standing position, with him intermittently trying to break free, without risking injuring him, in re which the whole restraint could have been rendered incomparably easier, had it been known it would take 45 minutes for police to arrive at a store a mile away from the local District Hdqtrs in regard to a crime then in progress, by forcing him to the floor, RJM remained on the east side of Des Plaines Ave, positioned to continue to observe the exits referenced herein supra.

29. A black male exited the facility in a gray sedan shortly whereafter the developments referenced herein transpired but RJM does not know how good a picture of his front plate RJM procured.

30. A white pick-up truck had backed into the bay area and there was activity in the area of the door adjacent to the unit referenced herein supra and entities were loaded into the back of such pick-up truck.

31. A black male drove that vehicle out of the bay area and down Des Plaines Ave, which is one way south at that latitude.

32. At about 7:10-7:15 a.m. CPD unit # 8907 arrived and two Sargeants inquired of RJM in re the report of a crime in progress at such address.

33. RJM informed the Sgts in re the developments referenced herein and enabled them to access the facility.

34. One of the Sgts. was a Caucasian 35-40 year old male named Sherman and RJM does not know the other Sgt's name.

35. They addressed the customer in unit 1214 (the unit closest to the door) and after 4 or 5 minutes returned to the street.

36. The customer in the unit referenced herein exited the building and inquired of RJM:

“Did you call the police on me?” or something to that effect.

37. Leery of ending up the next innocent victim of some patently frivolous plunder of everything not adequately secured lawsuit, RJM responded: “You have not been accused of anything by me, and to my knowledge, no constitutionally protected right of yours has been in any way infringed in regard to anything this police call concerns, as no search of anything was ever conducted without your permission if indeed any search was conducted at all.”

38. Sgt. Sherman informed RJM that the video of the facility would have to be procured and that a separate unit would arrive to take and make a report of the crime.

39. Two officers arrived and RJM activated his recording device in order to produce a record of the encounter.

40. The black male officer report taker (71", 190 lbs) complained about this – see youtube posting in re whereto.

41. Such officer provided RJM a copy of an R. D. document and informed RJM that since RJM could not provide all of the serial numbers of all the devices missing, RJM would have to get a supplemental report made.

42. When ESS On-site manager Will Price arrived, RJM explained what had happened and asked to be permitted to view the video footage of the time period in which the thefts referenced herein were perpetrated as RJM had been permitted to do in 2006 or 2007 when John Butt was the On-site Mgr, which resulted in the apprehension of Vince Yarborough for stilling RJM's bike tire.

43. Mr. Price informed RJM that he could not provide RJM such access without permission from some supervisor.

44. On Monday 7/25/16, RJM addressed an Officer Kucharski at the 12th District CPD Headquarters, which address is accessible on RJM's youtube playlist.

45. The rest of the details of RJM's endeavors to recover the property this document concerns will be added to this report in future components of this document.

46. As of 8/4/16, RJM has been informed that ESS will only permit RJM to access the videos produced of the activity conducted in the facility at the times of the perpetrations of the thefts this document concerns either when a CPD officer is present or after any such officer would have viewed such videos.

47. Thus at t

#48 added via hand inscription on 011718 at Warrant Officer's Office

48. John Doe #1 exited the facility during RJM's wait and surveyed the area as observed on video posted on RJM's youtube channel.

end of Theft report

Related Issue Reference: This Court has been informed that Robert J. More would seek to procure appointment as a private prosecutor should criminal charges not be instituted in regard to these matters on 011718 and that RJM possesses an abundance of authority demonstrating the need and legitimacy of such petition, and that RJM is complaining that but for the deprivation of the measure of consideration constituting a legitimate criminal investigation and submission of a petition for the institution of criminal charges regarding these matters, attributable to the Chicago Police Department, that charges would have been instituted already in re these matters and that RJM intends to submit a collection of disclaimers in the future regarding these matters, and that this postulation has been necessitated by the deprivations of legitimate reliance interests attributable to various nominal government entities, and that these matters demonstrate the necessity of the protection of the use of demonstrably contra-predatory vigilante, injustice rectification remedies and that the evident invasions of the provinces of the legislature and jury/tribunal of fact these matters concern cannot justifiably remain unremedied.

This document was completed and submitted by and is herein verified pursuant to the provisions of 735 ILCS 5/1-109 and 28 USC 1746 and that Robert More avers to the truth of any and all factual averments included wherein and that Robert More is available to testify under penalty of perjury whereto

Robert J. More

P.O. Box 6926, Chicago, IL, anselm45@gmail.com, 708 317-8812, alternative - Gordon W. Watts - 863 688-9880, gww1210@aol.com

Impressions ending up in Robert J. More ("RJM's") mind regarding the thefts of his computers and related possessions on 072216 and 072316 postulated as questions which it is RJM's understanding that the public which is entitled to everyman's evidence (Brandzburg v Hayes, (U.S.)) is entitled to receive answers.

Impressions ending up in RJM's mind regarding the response to the thefts of his computers and related possessions on 072216 and 072316 by the City of Chicago Police Department postulated as questions which it is RJM's understanding that the public which is entitled to everyman's evidence (Brandzburg v Hayes, (U.S.)) is entitled to receive answers.

Impressions ending up in RJM's mind regarding the response to the thefts of his his computers and related possessions by Extra Space Storage on 072216 and 072316 postulated as questions which it is RJM's understanding that the public which is entitled to everyman's evidence (Brandzburg v Hayes, (U.S.)) is entitled to receive answers.

Abbreviated Report of 011718 regarding RJM's endeavors to procure institution of criminal charges in re the ESS thefts on 011718. CPD Warrant Officer Godbolt informed RJM that no process could be instituted without the names of the alleged crime perpetrators and that if RJM might possess any way to remedy the defect of the then present lack whereof, addressing the Asst. Cook County State's Attorney responsible for Branch 43 might be the most promising alternative available in such regard. RJM was accommodated by the Asst. CCSA, Chief Felony Prosecutor for Branch 44 who informed RJM that he might be capable of procuring the relief RJM had informed him RJM was then seeking via addressing the Asst. CCSA responsible for Branch 43. CCSD Deputy Rufalo was very helpful regarding the entire project. Finally at about 16:15, Edwardo - the Asst. CCSA responsible for Branch 43 inquired of RJM regarding RJM's agenda. RJM explained his problem and that RJM was seeking a probable cause hearing and some legal process via which to compel Extra Space Storage to provide RJM the names of the activity conductors referenced via activity conducted herein supra. Edwardo accepted a copy of the Petition and Proposed Order included in the previous version of this document with all of the corrections included in this version of this document hand inscribed whereinto, which document is now superseded by this one.

RJM was informed by ESS Sup. Ms. A Kavalaskas that if a subpoena from a civil case were presented to ESS regarding

Circuit Court of Cook County, IL

People of State of Il

v

John Doe #1, Jane Doe #1, any and all other John and Jane Does

Proposed Conditional Order of 011818 Regarding Institution of Criminal Charges Regarding the thefts of Robert J. More's ("RJM's") computers and related possessions on 072216 and 072316

1. Preface: Given the societal importance of the principle and arrangement defined traditionally that "guilt not go unpunished and that innocence not suffer", defined alternatively as the vindication of the interests of all citizens in the reception of the consideration defined as "the protection from crimes perpetrated by a.) private citizen criminals, b.) one's sovereign, c.) one's sovereign's subordinates, d.) entities foreign to one's own nation, to the extent such protection can be provided in any given instance without in the process of the provision of such, causing the deprivation of other measures of consideration, of equal importance if the four alternatives included herein supra do not cover the universe of possibilities present in this regard, which principle and the consideration correlative wherewith, necessarily includes the administration of punishment which the Legislature for a given jurisdiction has determined to be appropriate for any crimes which a jury or other tribunal of fact would legitimately find ever to have been committed," and that the "law cannot require the impossible" in light of the fact that 1. criminal charges can be instituted upon circumstantial evidence entirely by itself, 2. that such type charges can be instituted upon hearsay when stronger evidence would not be procurable upon a demonstration of present impossibility of providing stronger evidence and the prospects of the future procurement whereof, in consideration of the soon to expire nominal statute(s) of limitations applicable to the crime of "(misdemeanor) theft of property" for stolen property valued, not including any work product of the crime victim in any given instance, crime victim claimant Robert J. More ("RJM") to be less than \$500.00, and/or misdemeanor trespass and in consideration of the evidence concerning such matters provided by RJM to this trbunal on 011718:

2. Criminal Charges for the Crime of Theft of Robert J. More's ("RJM") computers and related possessions on 072216 and 072316 shall be conditionally instituted against John Doe #1, Jane Doe #1, John Doe #2, and John Doe #3 at this juncture, subject to the requirement that the actual names of such now J. Doe Defendants be presented to this Court within 30 days, subject to extension of time for good cause shown, so that criminal charges which would include the actual names of any and all actual crime perpetrators regarding the matters this document concerns ("these matters") can be modified such that such J. Does would become adequately named Defendants prior to any proceeding other than the institution of criminal charges ever being conducted regarding these matters in order that criminal charges may be instituted within such time period and/or

This tribunal herein declares by its authority that any and all statutes of limitations nominally applicable to these matters have been tolled thru given the unavailability to RJM of the actual names of the J. Doe's referenced herein or

This tribunal herein declares the entirety of the provisions of the presently operative Criminal Code for the State of IL, whatever its actual appellation presently is, to be unconstitutional as any provision whereof might ever have been applied to any activity ever conducted by RJM for the purpose of adequately accomplishing all of the "ends of justice" these matters concern in the absence whereof, which of course, necessarily includes no type of activity which could be classified as any type of *malum in se* activity.

2a. (Extra Space Storage ("ESS")) (The City of Chicago Police Department) shall provide Robert J. More and/or this Court the names of all of the gate access code possessors for unit # 1214 at the FAC729 Facility (707 W. Harrison St. Chicago, IL) and the facility accessors who entered the facility on the morning of 072316 while RJM was in the process

of completing a phone call to the Chicago Police Department ("CPD) (between the juncture that the thefts this document concerns had been committed and the juncture at which the CPD members arrived in re whereof), which would be identifiable from the gate code access records and/or video footage, and/or testimony of any and all ESS employees in possession of the knowledge of the names of such customers for the FAC729 facility which is presently in its possession

3. This order has been entered upon the evidence submitted by RJM to a. the CPD Warrant Officer, b. the Office of the Cook County States Attorney, c. this Court on 011718, which includes: 1. contents of RJM's police report # HZ 360-742, 2. RJM's report ofregarding such losses of property which are suspected by RJM to constitute thefts, and in particular, 2. RJM's explanation that RJM encountered Jane Doe #1 within a period of a few weeks prior to the crime perpetration in the aisle in which unit 2024 exists when she was charging her cell phone in such aisle and that a brief exchange of spoken words was conducted at such juncture, 3. RJM's seeing the three males entering the facility as RJM was departing it on the evening of 072216, 4. the ESS customer in Unit 1034 or other, who informed RJM that he observed the John Doe 1 pressing his face against the window of the glass panel in the door immediately between his unit - 1214 and the Dock of the facility, 5. RJM's observing such John Doe #1 to enter the facility on 072316 at 6:00 a.m. immediately prior to the second theft having been perpetrated, 6. John Doe #1 being in the facility at the juncture of both thefts this document concerns, 7. Jane Doe #1's entering the facility (see: "Document List – Computer, etc. Theft 7/22 and 23 of 2016" entry #24, 8. John Doe #1's activity as demonstrated in the youtube videos posted in the Robert More youtube channel (with aged book page picture, in particular his exiting the gate access door and casting glances for some purpose (see entry #48 infra), 9. the rest of the contents of the Computer, etc. Theft 7/22 and 23 of 2016, 10. the fact that access to Unit # 2024 in FAC729 was not possible without passing thru video-monitored areas, if all cameras are the same on 011618 as they were at the juncture of the crime commissions these matters concern and were all adequately functioning at such juncture, except via entrance from the emergency exit door on the north side of the facility, which has no outside handle and is immediately adjacent to the facility office which upon information and belief ESS Management would pass regularly, thus rendering the identification of the crime perpetrators to simply constitute a measure of logically excluding the possibility of the existence of any reasonable explanation regarding the possible suspect crime perpetrator('s') identification other than the actual explanation in such regard. 11. The entirety of the Document List – Computer, etc. Theft 7/22 and 23 of 2016 included herein infra is incorporated herein. 12. RJM complains that he and the rest of the members of the public have been deprived of the equal protection of the laws in the non-conducting of any adequate investigation of these matters and the non-petition for institution by the CPD of criminal charges in re whereof, 13. RJM recalls that besides the \$80.00 plus tax and portable media device stolen on 072216 this document concerns, that the total number of computers stolen on 072316 was either 4 or 5, along with a monitor, book bag, various power cords, only two of which could be used for anything other than data storage, and which two could only be used via the use of an external monitor, and that RJM is not certain of the exact value whereof, nor whether the work product of RJM present upon such devices is supposed to be included in the net value of the theft perpetrated, 14. to be supplemented as necessary in the future.

1. D/L – Comp ...
2. Initial Abbreviated Component of 8/4/16....
3. Confirmation of Reception of Documents Delivery

Initial Abbreviated Component of 8/4/16 of Report of 8/4/16 in re Criminal R.D. # HZ 360-742, Regarding Theft of Property Perpetrated Against Robert J. More ("RJM") to be Superseded at Various Junctures in the Future as Necessity Would Evidently Ever Require

1. On Friday 7/22/16 at about 2115, RJM departed storage unit #2024 ("2024") of the Extra Space Storage Facility at 707 W. Harrison St., Chicago, IL ("ESS") ("Facility") without burdening himself with closing his storage unit door and locking it as he intended to return to the unit within 15-20 minutes at the most.
2. As RJM was departing the Facility, it is RJM's recollection that there was a vehicle and some various persons, whose particular characteristics did not make any impression upon RJM, possibly because of vehicle doors obstructing RJM's vision of them, attending whereto in the auto/property loading bays, and RJM observed three black males, approximate ages 24-40 either climbing the stairs in the bay area and/or moving along the dock wall, but in any event, moving towards the storage unit area ("SUA") and not towards the outside of the facility.
3. When RJM arrived back at 2024, it occurred to him that the tablet he had used to complete a number of documents prior to exiting the facility was not where RJM last remembered seeing it.
4. RJM immediately scoured 2024 and all cartons in the hallway adjacent whereto and repeated such search, endeavoring to be certain that he had not either placed the then missing tablet under some cardboard and/or papers and/or in the lateral file cabinet in the unit.
5. At about 2140-45, RJM decided to go down to the dock area and RJM did so.
6. RJM encountered the customer in unit 1034 (RJM will provide corrected unit # if this is not the correct number), if RJM's memory serves him correctly, who was moving entities on a hand forklift or a furniture cart.
7. RJM inquired of such customer, who was a 72-73 inch, 185-190 lb Caucasian male, between est. age - 26-38 whether he had seen anyone exiting the building in the prior 20 minutes in any type of suspicious manner as RJM could not locate his tablet.
8. Such customer informed RJM that he had seen a black male about 73" and 190 lbs looking around the dock area and also viewing the dock area from the storage unit area of the facility with his face pressed against the window of the door on the south side of the dock.
9. Such customer informed RJM that the activity of such individual had made an impression upon him and that such individual rented the storage unit closest to that door on the inside (storage unit area) of the facility, which RJM understands to be unit 1214.
10. RJM thanked such customer for such information and returned to 2024.

11. RJM then began brainstorming to ascertain the most likely place to recover his tablet.
12. RJM checked the south side of the facility exit to Vernon street and noticed that that door was not completely closed and fastened at such time.
13. RJM walked through and examined the visible area of the entire facility just to be certain that RJM's tablet had not been left in some hallway.
14. RJM returned to the unit and remained there for some time, assessing his then present options.
15. At some point, RJM decided that the best prospects for recovery of his tablet and the SD card containing the past year's work product of RJM whereupon, would be to remain at the unit, in case the thief might still be in the facility and be inclined to return to 2024.
16. RJM was also concerned to not miss the 6:00 a.m. gate access commencement time facility accessors, as RJM suspected that any thief who departed the facility on 7/22/16 would be somewhat likely to return when the facility reopened on 7/23/16.
17. At about 5:57 a.m. on 7/23/16, RJM exited the unit and facility in order to observe anyone waiting to enter it at 6:00 a.m. and observed a black male, wearing a white T-shirt and black bandanna-like "Do-rag" on his head, who fit the description of the individual referenced herein supra as renting the unit closest to the south entrance off the dock to the storage unit area (Unit 1214).
18. RJM demonstrated no cognition of any significance in observing such individual but eye contact was definitely made between he and RJM.
19. RJM rode his bike around the block, and paused before recrossing Harrison st. in endeavoring to ascertain the best strategy for recovery of his missing tablet.
20. When RJM returned to 2024, minutes later, it occurred to him that the two book bags RJM had had of laptop computers, cords and a monitor stored in 2024 were missing from his unit.
21. RJM then examined the lateral file and noticed that his virus plagued Trio tablet was also missing.

22. Shortly thereafter, RJM exited the facility and dialed 911 to report the commission of a crime of theft but RJM cannot recall if he referenced it as a crime in progress.
23. When no police appeared, RJM dialed 911 again and emphasized that the matter concerned constituted a crime of a theft in progress.
24. Either the first or second call was placed near to the pedestrian door of the facility in the presence of a light skinned black woman, about 60 inches tall, approximate age of 20-25 and a 67 inch, 175 lb, dark-skinned black male.
25. RJM then moved to a position from which he could observe both the front bay area and the exit on the south side of the facility to Vernon St. with his video recording device ready to record whatever would transpire.
26. At some juncture the customer who rents the unit closest to the door which number is 1214 if RJM's memory serves him correctly in this regard exited the building and inquired of RJM:
- “Did you call the police on me?”
27. RJM cannot recall what exactly if anything RJM said in response to such inquiry.
28. While waiting for the police to arrive and RJM knows how long that can take in that area as RJM vividly recalls having to wait 45 minutes in the Jewel on Roosevelt Rd. in December, 2014 as RJM assisted the security contingent there in subduing a 76-77 inch, 240-255 lb, estimated age 35, black male who had endeavored to take something out of that store in contravention of the order of a security guard to refrain from doing so in a standing position, with him intermittently trying to break free, without risking injuring him, in re which the whole restraint could have been rendered incomparably easier, had it been known it would take 45 minutes for police to arrive at a store a mile away from the local District Hdqtrs in regard to a crime then in progress, by forcing him to the floor, RJM remained on the east side of Des Plaines Ave, positioned to continue to observe the exits referenced herein supra.
29. A black male exited the facility in a gray sedan shortly thereafter the developments referenced herein transpired but RJM does not know how good a picture of his front plate RJM procured.
30. A white pick-up truck had backed into the bay area and there was activity in the area of the door adjacent to the unit referenced herein supra and entities were loaded into the back of such pick-up truck.
31. A black male drove that vehicle out of the bay area and down Des Plaines Ave, which is one way south at that latitude.

32. At about 7:10-7:15 a.m. CPD unit # 8907 arrived and two Sargeants inquired of RJM in re the report of a crime in progress at such address.

33. RJM informed the Sgts in re the developments referenced herein and enabled them to access the facility.

34. One of the Sgts. was a Caucasian 35-40 year old male named Sherman and RJM does not know the other Sgt's name.

35. They addressed the customer in unit 1214 (the unit closest to the door) and after 4 or 5 minutes returned to the street.

36. The customer in the unit referenced herein exited the building and inquired of RJM:

“Did you call the police on me?” or something to that effect.

37. Leery of ending up the next innocent victim of some patently frivolous plunder of everything not adequately secured lawsuit, RJM responded: “You have not been accused of anything by me, and to my knowledge, no constitutionally protected right of yours has been in any way infringed in regard to anything this police call concerns, as no search of anything was ever conducted without your permission if indeed any search was conducted at all.”

38. Sgt. Sherman informed RJM that the video of the facility would have to be procured and that a separate unit would arrive to take and make a report of the crime.

39. Two officers arrived and RJM activated his recording device in order to produce a record of the encounter.

40. The black male officer report taker (71", 190 lbs) complained about this – see youtube posting in re whereto.

41. Such officer provided RJM a copy of an R. D. document and informed RJM that since RJM could not provide all of the serial numbers of all the devices missing, RJM would have to get a supplemental report made.

42. When ESS On-site managaer Will Price arrived, RJM explained what had happened and asked to be permitted to view the video footage of the time period in which the thefts referenced herein were perpetrated as RJM had been permitted to do in 2006 or 2007 when John Butt was the On-site Mgr, which resulted in the apprehension of Vince Yarborough for stilling RJM's bike tire.

43. Mr. Price informed RJM that he could not provide RJM such access without permission from some supervisor.

44. On Monday 7/25/16, RJM addressed an Officer Kucharski at the 12th District CPD Headquarters, which address is accessible on RJM's youtube playlist.

45. The rest of the details of RJM's endeavors to recover the property this document concerns will be added to this report in future components of this document.

46. As of 8/4/16, RJM has been informed that ESS will only permit RJM to access the videos produced of the activity conducted in the facility at the times of the perpetrations of the thefts this document concerns either when a CPD officer is present or after any such officer would have viewed such videos.

47. Thus at t

#48 added via hand inscription on 011718 at Warrant Officer's Office

48. John Doe #1 exited the facility during RJM's wait and surveyed the area as observed on video posted on RJM's youtube channel.

end of Theft report

Related Issue Reference: This Court has been informed that Robert J. More would seek to procure appointment as a private prosecutor should criminal charges not be instituted in regard to these matters on 011718 and that RJM possesses an abundance of authority demonstrating the need and legitimacy of such petition, and that RJM is complaining that but for the deprivation of the measure of consideration constituting a legitimate criminal investigation and submission of a petition for the institution of criminal charges regarding these matters, attributable to the Chicago Police Department, that charges would have been instituted already in re these matters and that RJM intends to submit a collection of disclaimers in the future regarding these matters, and that this postulation has been necessitated by the deprivations of legitimate reliance interests attributable to various nominal government entities, and that these matters demonstrate the necessity of the protection of the use of demonstrably contra-predatory vigilante, injustice rectification remedies and that the evident invasions of the provinces of the legislature and jury/tribunal of fact these matters concern cannot justifiably remain unremedied.

This document was completed and submitted by and is herein verified pursuant to the provisions of 735 ILCS 5/1-109 and 28 USC 1746 and that Robert More avers to the truth of any and all factual averments included wherein and that Robert More is available to testify under penalty of perjury whereto

Robert J. More

P.O. Box 6926, Chicago, IL, anselm45@gmail.com, 708 317-8812, alternative - Gordon W. Watts - 863 688-9880, gww1210@aol.com

Impressions ending up in Robert J. More ("RJM's") mind regarding the thefts of his computers and related possessions on 072216 and 072316 postulated as questions which it is RJM's understanding that the public which is entitled to everyman's evidence (Brandzburg v Hayes, (_U.S._)) is entitled to receive answers.

Impressions ending up in RJM's mind regarding the response to the thefts of his computers and related possessions on 072216 and 072316 by the City of Chicago Police Department postulated as questions which it is RJM's understanding that the public which is entitled to everyman's evidence (Brandzburg v Hayes, (_U.S._)) is entitled to receive answers.

Impressions ending up in RJM's mind regarding the response to the thefts of his his computers and related possessions by Extra Space Storage on 072216 and 072316 postulated as questions which it is RJM's understanding that the public which is entitled to everyman's evidence (Brandzburg v Hayes, (_U.S._)) is entitled to receive answers.